

**MINUTES OF THE TEQIP MEETING CONDUCTED ON 23-1-2006 AT
11:00 A.M. AT JNTU COLLEGE OF ENGINEERING, HYDERABAD**

The following were present:

1. Sri. Asutosh Mishra, I.A.S., Principal Secretary to Government, Higher Education Department, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.
2. Sri. G. Anantha Ramu, I.A.S., State Project Advisor & Director of Technical Education, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.
3. Prof. V. Jayarami Reddy, Head- Quality Assurance Cell, SPFU, A.P
4. Sri. D.Venkateshwarlu, Head- Procurement Cell, SPFU, A.P
5. Sri. A.V.Srikanth, Head- Programme Cell, SPFU, A.P
6. Sri. M. Muralidher Reddy, Head- Finance Cell, SPFU, A.P
7. Sri. D. Ranga Rao, Asst. Director (Finance), SPFU, A.P.
8. Dr. G.Tulasi Ram Das, Principal, JNTU College of Engineering, Hyderabad
9. Prof. M.V.Seshagiri Rao, Vice Principal, JNTU College of Engineering, Hyderabad
10. Dr. G.K.Viswanadh, Nodal Officer, JNTU College of Engineering, Hyderabad
11. Prof. Allam Appa Rao, Principal, AUCE, Visakhapatnam
12. Prof. A.Ravi Prasad, Head, Dept of Chemical Engg., AUCE, Visakhapatnam
13. Prof. NBR Mohan Rao, TEQIP Nodal Officer, AUCE, Visakhapatnam
14. Prof. N.C.Eswar Reddy, Principal, S.V.U. College of Engineering, Tirupati
15. Prof. M. Anji Reddy, Director, ISTE JNTU, Hyderabad
16. Prof. M. Lakshmi Narsu, Nodal Officer, ISTE JNTU, Hyderabad
17. Prof. D.N.Reddy, Principal, O.U College of Engineering, Hyderabad
18. Dr. V.S.S.Kumar, Nodal Officer, O.U College of Engineering, Hyderabad
19. L.C. Siva Reddy, Academic Committee - Member, O.U College of Engineering, Hyderabad
20. Dr. S.Srinivas Kumar, Nodal Officer, JNTU College of Engineering, Kakinada
21. Prof. K. Hema Chandra Reddy, Nodal Officer, JNTU College of Engineering, Anantapur

22. Prof. I.V.Rao, Nodal Officer, OUCT, Hyderabad
23. Dr. P.Amareshwar, Dean –Faculty of Technology, Academic Committee, OUCT, Hyderabad
24. Dr. P. Narasimha Reddy, Prinicipal, Sreenidhi Institute of Science & Technology, Ghatkesar
25. P.G.Sastry, Dean (Admn & Acad), Sreenidhi Institute of Science & Technology, Ghatkesar
26. Dr. K.S.R.Siva Sai, Nodal Officer, Sreenidhi Institute of Science & Technology, Ghatkesar
27. Sri. A.Muthaji, Principal, Govt. Institute of Electronics, Secunderabad
28. Sri. C. Mallesham, HoD, Govt. Institute of Electronics, Secunderabad
29. Smt. C. Ratna Kumari, HoD, Govt. Institute of Electronics, Secunderabad
30. Sri. K.V.Vasanth Kumar, HoD (Civil), Govt. Polytecnic, Hyderabad
31. K. Elisha Babu, Nodal Officer, Bapatla Engineering College, Bapatla
32. R. Thyaga Raju, A.O & Nodal Officer, RGM CET, Nandyal

1. Dr. G. Tulasi Ram Das, Principal, JNTU College of Engineering, Hyderabad had welcomed the Principal Secretary (Higher Education), State Project Advisor, other SPFU Officials, Principals/ Managements, Nodal Officers and members of Academic Committee of TEQIP Institutions.
2. While reiterating the issues raised in 4th JRM, Sri. G. Anantha Ramu, I.A.S., State Project Advisor enlightened the institutions about certain of the specific issues in implementation of TEQIP :
 - a) The TEQIP institutions were informed that the approvals and ratifications are given by the Board of Governors of several institutions for the activities already undertaken under TEQIP till that time in that institution. The Principals & Nodal Officers were informed to take the suggestions of BoG, accordingly prepare action plans and take approval before its implementation instead of ratifications.
 - b) It was informed to prepare action plan for 2006 for the activities under each component as proposed in their CIPs. The yearly action plans should clearly indicate the activities and the sub-activities to be taken up month-wise. The month wise financial estimates and planning should be in tune with the activities and sub-activities given in their action plans.
 - c) It was informed that the SPFU is scrutinizing expenditure in detail made by the institutions. In the process, the SPFU is unable to maintain time schedule in submitting reimbursement claims to NPIU. Hence, the institutions were advised to submit their statement of expenditure by 5th of every month instead of 8th, so as to enable the SPFU to interact with the

institutions during the scrutiny and finally send the reimbursement claims by 15th to NPIU.

- d) It is observed that certain institutions are proposing additional remuneration to the faculty /staff of the same institution for undertaking TEQIP work, which is not permitted under TEQIP. However, key additional faculty / staff can be taken on contract basis while justifying the continuance of these staff even after the project period at the cost of the institution. In order to facilitate TEQIP implementation not more than 2 Computer Operators @ Rs. 4000/- per month (including for the clerical assistance) and 2 attenders at a consolidated amount of Rs 2000/- per month can be taken under 'Operations & Maintenance'.
- e) It was informed that the NPIU has suggested during the meeting held on 30th December, 2005 which was attended by Head-Finance Cell, SPFU that the institutions can only take consultancy services of a Chartered Accountant for their accounts at a cost of not more than Rs.5,000/- per annum and cannot appoint individual auditor. The auditor appointed by SPFU will be the official auditor for TEQIP accounts in the institutions.
- f) It is observed that Study Tours abroad are being approved at institutional level, which is not permitted under TEQIP. Permissions for presentation of scientific papers in international conferences of repute and short term fellowships can only be permitted after duly verifying the merits of the organizing body and their professional reputation. The study tours and

training programmes abroad will be permitted by NPIU on the recommendations of SPFU and vice-versa.

- g) It is observed that little progress is made in activities under networking & services to community & economy development, the two equally important components of the TEQIP. These activities should be part of their routine activities and the institutions should habituate themselves in conducting these activities.
 - h) To elicit information about the various activities to be taken up by the institutions under each component of the TEQIP as per PIP, the institutions were provided with a questionnaire - to furnish information about the activities undertaken. The institutions have not properly furnished the required information. In this regard, the institutions are directed to discuss these issues in person with the SPFU officials immediately.
 - i) The institutions were informed to hire vehicles as and when required as per norms and book the expenditure under 'Operation & Maintenance'.
3. The Principals of A.U. College of Engineering, Visakhapatnam & O.U. College of Engineering, Hyderabad have raised certain queries on research, changes in CIPs, economy development programmes at what level, convenient time for networking, IRG, mentors' visit, reward to teacher, sabbatical leave, publications, patents and filling up of vacancies.
 4. The Principal Secretary to Higher Education has clarified that the Government is issuing the G.O. shortly on the filling up of vacant faculty positions in TEQIP

institutions as per the agreed terms of bearing the financial burden by the University and the Government. He has requested the institutions to fill up the vacancies as early as possible in a transparent way without getting into legal entangles.

5. The State Project Advisor and SPFU officials have clarified the issues raised by the Principals of AUCE & OUCE.

6. AUCE, Visakhapatnam:

a) Only faculty development programmes have been projected under academic excellence without giving the main activities under academic excellence while giving nil activities under networking. The institution shall give the activities and sub – activities clearly under each component from hereafter as per their action plan in tune with their CIP.

b) The institution have shown actual expenditure of Rs. 36.78 millions by the end of December, 2006 which is less than the first installment of Rs. 40 millions disbursed in the month of March,2005. As per clause of 2 (j) of MoU, the institution is required to spend the amount in six months. The institution is directed to take immediate necessary action in this regard.

c) The financial statement as shown in institution presentation:

(Rs in millions)

Target upto 31-3-2006	95.00
Budget released so far	57.50
Actual expenditure	36.79
Committed expenditure	43.27
Pipeline expenditure	Not shown
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	43.27
Balance Budget available	20.71
Approx. Budget required	20.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 95 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 57.50 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 36.79 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure without any expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 43.27 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs. 20 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 20 millions on hand.

7. Govt. Institute of Electronics, Secunderabad:

- a) The institution needs to clearly state their main activities under academic excellence before presenting the sub-activities.
- b) Networking activities need to be performed within the cluster and more number of activities need to be taken up.
- c) The institution needs to accelerate their efforts in civil works.
- d) The financial statement as shown in institution presentation:

(Rs in millions)

Target upto 31-3-2006	45.00
Budget released so far	20.00
Actual expenditure	7.205
Committed expenditure	11.372
Pipeline expenditure	16.40
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	27.772
Balance Budget available	12.795
Approx. Budget required	5.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 45 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 20.00 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 7.205 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 27.772 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs. 5 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 12.795 millions on hand.

8. JNTU College of Engineering, Anantapur:

- a) The institution has not clearly stated their main activities under academic excellence in presentation. However, it was informed that strengthening of

labs, central computing facilities and revision of curriculum in UG & PG programmes will be taken up.

- b) The institution has not proposed any networking activities.
- c) The impact of activities under services to community & economy need to be emphasized.
- d) The institution needs to ensure publications and paper presentations in journals and conferences of international repute.
- e) The institution needs to undertake research activities and IRG schemes.
- f) The financial statement as shown in institution presentation:

(Rs in millions)

Target upto 31-3-2006	65.00
Budget released so far	47.50
Actual expenditure	24.05
Committed expenditure	31.291
Pipeline expenditure	Not shown
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	31.291
Balance Budget available	23.450
Approx. Budget required	10.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 65 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 47.50 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 24.05 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 31.291 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 10 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 23.45 millions on hand.

9. JNTUCE, Hyderabad:

- a) The activities under Academic Excellence were general and specific activities under these components were not given.
- b) More activities need to be taken under networking.
- c) The institution has spent their maximum funds for procurement of equipment and proposed to permit them for reallocation of funds under different heads within their overall life time allocation.
- d) The institution is advised to speed up their Civil works

Target upto 31-3-2006	95.00
Budget released so far	87.50
Actual expenditure	45.507
Committed expenditure	39.775
Pipeline expenditure	5.72
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	45.495
Balance Budget available	41.993
Approx. Budget required	20.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 95 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 87.50 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 45.507 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 45.495 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 20.00 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 23.45 millions on hand.

10. O.U.College of Engineering, Hyderabad:

- a) The institution is not clear about the activities under Academic Excellence. They have mentioned about only the lectures & workshops conducted by them.
- b) More activities need to be taken under services to community & economy development for other than students also.
- c) The institution is advised to hasten up their civil works.
- d) The institution has taken up good number of Consultancies & Research activities and earned sizable IRG during the month.
- e) Beneficiaries number, gender wise are not given in the activities taken under services to community and economy development.
- f) It was stated that the expenditure in pipeline for civil works is Rs. 15 millions, Rs. 6.00 millions in campus wide networking and Rs.12.00 millions for equipment, besides committed expenditure is Rs. 39.823 millions .

- g) It is observed that the institution is incurring expenditure in equipment only which is not in tune with their CIP. Hence, the institution is advised to spend the expenditure as per the norms and purchases under each component.

Target upto 31-3-2006	95.00
Budget released so far	57.50
Actual expenditure	54.326
Committed expenditure	39.823
Pipeline expenditure	35.50
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	75.323
Balance Budget available	3.174
Approx. Budget required	47.50

Out of the Target of Rs. 95.00 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 57.50 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 54.326 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 75.323 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 47.50 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 3.174 millions on hand.

11. JNTU College of Engineering, Kakinada:

- a) The institution had proposed to introduce B.Tech (Chemical Engg.) in their CIP, later informed that they are starting B.Tech (Bio-Technology) and now in their presentation have informed to start B.Tech (Information Technology). Hence, the institution is advised to justify their original proposal and subsequent changes.
- b) Gender wise beneficiaries are not given in the activities taken under services to community and economy development.
- c) The institution is not clear over the expenditure in pipeline

Target upto 31-3-2006	65.00
Budget released so far	47.50
Actual expenditure	20.971
Committed expenditure	30.281
Pipeline expenditure	-
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	30.281
Balance Budget available	26.529
Approx. Budget required	10.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 65.00 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 47.50 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 20.971 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 30.281 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 10.00 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 26.529 millions on hand.

12. O.U.College of Technology, Hyderabad:

- a) The institution is still unaware of the activities to be taken up under TEQIP. It is felt essential that close, constant and thorough mentoring by the Mentors and as well as SPFU for OUCT, Hyderabad for implementation of TEQIP.
- b) The institution has stated that they have not taken up any research activities, consultancies and IRG schemes.
- c) The institution has to accelerate their civil works
- d) The institution needs to reallocate more amount for Books & LR. (At present, only Rs.0.40 millions have been earmarked and spent under Books & LR)
- e) The institution has not spent the amount released to them in the month of March, 2005, which should have been spent by September, 2005.

Target upto 31-3-2006	60.00
Budget released so far	30.00
Actual expenditure	9.793
Committed expenditure	6.291
Pipeline expenditure	14.50
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	20.791
Balance Budget available	20.207
Approx. Budget required	10.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 60.00 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 30.00 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 9.793 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 14.50 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 10.00 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 20.207 millions on hand.

13. Institute of Science & Technology, JNTU,Hyderabad:

- a) Activities under services to community & economy development taken up during the month only should be reported.
- b) The institution needs to gear up their activities and reach the target of Rs. 55.00 millions by March, 2006

Target upto 31-3-2006	55.00
Budget released so far	38.00
Actual expenditure	13.22
Committed expenditure	25.40
Pipeline expenditure	Fig not given in chart
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	25.40
Balance Budget available	24.78
Approx. Budget required	10.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 55.00 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 38.00 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 13.22 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 25.40 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 10.00 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 24.78 millions on hand.

14. S.V. University College of Engineering, Tirupati:

- a) The institution requires to take more activities under networking and services to community
- b) The institution is advised to hasten civil works.
- c) The institution is advised to attend and organize more workshops, training programmes.
- d) Beneficiaries number, gender wise are not given in the activities taken under services to community and economy development.
- e) It is observed that the institution is incurring expenditure in equipment only which is not in tune with their CIP. Hence, the institution is advised to spend the expenditure as per the norms and purchases under each component.

Target upto 31-3-2006	95.00
Budget released so far	57.50
Actual expenditure	45.666
Committed expenditure	67.500
Pipeline expenditure	-
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	67.50
Balance Budget available	11.834
Approx. Budget required	40.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 95.00 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 57.50 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 45.666 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 67.50 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 40.00 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 11.834 millions on hand.

15. Sreenidhi Institute of Science & Technology, Ghatkesar:

- a) Activities under academic excellence need to be properly stated including the academic reforms.
- b) Some of the equipment procured is related to unaccredited B.Tech (Biotechnology) programme which is not permissible.
- c) The institution has not taken up activities related to Research, Consultancies and IRG schemes.
- d) Beneficiaries number, gender wise are not given in the activities taken under services to community and economy development.

Target upto 31-3-2006	55.00
Budget released so far	27.00
Actual expenditure	10.913
Committed expenditure	11.639
Pipeline expenditure	40.000
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	51.639
Balance Budget available	16.087
Approx. Budget required	20.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 55.00 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs. 27.00 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 10.913 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs. 51.639 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 20.00 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 16.087 millions on hand.

16. RGM College of Engineering & Technology, Nandyal:

- a) Activities under academic excellence, networking need to be clearly and properly stated.
- b) The institution needs to procure equipment, Books & LRs etc., immediately as they were late in taking the first installment.
- c) The institution has not taken up activities related to Research, Consultancies and IRG schemes.

Target upto 31-3-2006	55.00
Budget released so far	27.00
Actual expenditure	3.065
Committed expenditure	17.903
Pipeline expenditure	45.00
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	62.903
Balance Budget available	23.935
Approx. Budget required	10.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 55.00 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs.27.00 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 3.065 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs.62.903 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 10.00 millions would be sufficient till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 23.935 millions on hand.

17 Bapatla Engineering College, Bapatla:

- a) The Principal, Bapatla Engineering college is not present and the Nodal officer did not make the presentation. Later, the presentation was sent by post.
- b) Activities under academic excellence need to be clearly and properly stated.
- c) The institution has not taken up activities related to Research, Consultancies and IRG schemes.
- d) The institution has not organized any workshops / training programmes.
- e) More number of Books & LRs are to be procured. The institution is advised to make procurement in Books & LRs immediately.

Target upto 31-3-2006	55.00
Budget released so far	37.50
Actual expenditure	23.905
Committed expenditure	13.497
Pipeline expenditure	20.00
Committed + Pipeline expenditures	13.497
Balance Budget available	13.595
Approx. Budget required	10.00

Out of the Target of Rs. 55.00 millions to be spent upto 31-3-2006, an amount of Rs.37.50 millions was released and the institution had incurred an expenditure of Rs. 23.905 millions so far. Since the committed expenditure with expenditure in the pipeline is Rs.13.497 millions is projected, an approximate amount of Rs 10.00 millions would be sufficient even if some expenditure is shown till 31-3-2006 as they have Rs. 13.595 millions on hand.

18. The Principal Secretary, informed the institutions to be aware of their targets and implement the project to reach the targets. The reallocation of the funds among the institutions shall be based on their activities and achievement of their targets, implementation of academic reforms should be given top priority and the TEQIP activities should be reflected in figures in the form of output and outcome indicators. However, only the positive indicators will be highlighted without giving any scope for adverse remarks during their presentations. It was also informed that very soon Government orders will be issued for the recruitment of faculty against existing vacancies and the Principals were informed to go ahead with the faculty recruitment immediately in a transparent method without getting into any legal entangles. The Principals were advised to have an internal dialogue with universities for autonomy, reform their accounting system, revise /update their curriculum while taking feedback from the industry and also alumni. It was also informed to facilitate more campus interviews, since the NASSCOM declared that

there would be growing demand for IT jobs till 2010. The institution should take up more and more consultancies and generate IRG.

19. Prof. V. Jayarami Reddy, Head Quality Assurance cell, while informing the institutions to take advantage of the Mentors in implementation of TEQIP, emphasized the need to gauge the impact of TEQIP in the participating institutions. In order to see the impact of TEQIP, it was proposed in the Aide Memoir of 4th JRM that SPFU will undertake a study to compare achievement of project institutions on output indicators with the status of the same in the non-project institutions.
20. Sri. A.V.Srikanth, Head Programme cell, after going through the material, submitted by the institutions, had informed the institutions to submit their yearly action plan in the prescribed format consisting of Part-A, Part-B and Part-C to enable the SPFU to have a detailed list of activities taken up and to facilitate and monitor the progress in TEQIP implementation. Further, it was also expressed that the SPFU have to regularly send reports to the various departments viz., Higher Education, Finance, Planning etc., basing on the information furnished in these formats
21. Some institutions have expressed their difficulty in furnishing the formats viz., SOE, AC-1,2,3, PG-1,2,3 & CW-1,2,3, FMR -1,2,3,4, QAPA, proforma-E yearly action plan and also other formats as desired by NPIU from time to time. Then it was clarified that the proformas except QAPA, proforma-E and yearly action plan in Part-A, B,C all other formats are required by NPIU. However, a perfectly drafted yearly action plan in part A, B and C will enable the institutions to give their monthly AC-1,2,3, PG-1,2,3 & CW-1,2,3, FMR -1,2,3,4 and quarterly QAPA without any difficulty and waste of time.
22. Sri. D. Venkateshwarlu, Head- Procurement cell had informed the institutions that in case of expenditure exceeding the life time allocation under equipment or Books & LR's, letter has to be addressed to NPIU through SPFU to reallocate the funds by diverting from savings of Operations & Maintenance and civil works (if not undertaken) by substantiating the reasons, if already proposed in CIPs.

23. Sri. M. Muralidher Reddy, Head- Finance Cell had informed the institutions to maintain all the ledgers and registers as per the World Bank norms and reconciliations of accounts should be done at every month ending.
24. Prof. V.Jayarami Reddy had thanked Principal and other staff of JNTUCE, Hyderabad for hosting the TEQIP review meeting. Dr. Tulasi Ram Das, Principal had thanked SPFU for giving an opportunity to host monthly review meeting at JNTUCE, Hyderabad.

**Sd/-G. ANANTHA RAMU
STATE PROJECT ADVISOR**

For STATE PROJECT ADVISOR

TECHNICAL EDUCATION QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME

TEQIP

STATE PROJECT FACILITATION UNIT :: ANDHRA PRADESH

WITH THE MISSION FOR QUALITY IN TECHNICAL EDUCATION

6th Floor , BRKR Bhavan, Department of Technical Education, Tank Bund, Hyderabad -500 063

Ph.No: 040-23223249, 23221511 Fax No: 040-23223249, 23226802

Letter No. TEQIP/SPFU-AP/3483/2005

Dated: -1-2006

From:

**SRI. G.ANANTHA RAMU, I.A.S.,
COMMISSIONER OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION &
STATE PROJECT ADVISOR,
ANDHRA PRADESH, HYDERABAD.**

To

Sir,

Sub: TEQIP –SPFU, Andhra Pradesh –