

**TEQIP MONTHLY REVIEW MEETING ON 13<sup>th</sup> JUNE, 2006**  
**AGENDA**

- |             |   |
|-------------|---|
| 10:30 A.M.  | Welcome address   |
| 10:32 A.M.  | Introduction of new State Project Advisor   |
| 10:35 A.M.  | Address by State Project Advisor  |
| 10:45 A.M.  | Address by Principal Secretary to Higher Education  |
| 10:55 A.M.  | Disbursement of 1 <sup>st</sup> quarter installment of 2006-07  |
| 11:00 A.M.  | Review of deliberations at 5th JRM  |
| 11: 10 A.M. | Presentation on Action Plans of Services to Community & Economy development, Networking, Tribal Development Plan programmes and Training by the Institutions. |
| 12:10 P.M.  | Review of financial progress.   |
| 12:30 P.M.  | Formats AC-1,2,3, PG-1,2,3  |
| 12:50 P.M.  | Review of procurement of Goods and Civil Works.   |
| 1: 15 P.M.  | Lunch   |
| 2: 00 P.M.  | Review on Second Performance Audit.   |
| 2: 45 P.M.  | Review on proposed National Workshop on Industry Institution Interaction.   |
| 3:00 P.M.   | Presentations by the TEQIP institutions.  |
| 5:00 P.M.   | Vote of Thanks  |

**MINUTES OF THE TEQIP MEETING CONDUCTED ON 13-6-2006 AT 10:00  
A.M. AT S.V.BHAVAN, MASAB TANK, HYDERABAD**

---

The following were present:

1. Sri. Rajendra Narendra Nimje, I.A.S., State Project Advisor & Director of Technical Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad
2. Prof. V. Jayarami Reddy, Head- Quality Assurance Cell, SPFU, A.P
3. Sri. D. Venkateswarlu, Head – Procurement Cell, SPFU, A.P
4. Sri. A.V.Srikanth, Head- Programme Cell, SPFU,A.P
5. Sri. M. Muralidher Reddy, Head- Finance Cell, SPFU, A.P
6. Sri. D. Ranga Rao, Asst. Director (Finance), SPFU, A.P.
7. Prof. M.A.RAMULU, Mentor
8. Prof. N.P.RAO, Mentor
9. Prof. C/Radha Krishna, Mentor
10. Prof. J.S.R.Subrahmanyam, Mentor.
11. Prof. B.Venkateswarlu, Lecturer.GIOE.
12. Sri A.Muthaji, Principal, GIOE.
13. Dr. K. Soundara Raju, JNTUCE, Ananthapur.
14. Dr. G.Tulasiram Das, Principal, JNTUCE, Hyderabad.
15. Sri K.R.Vinod Kumar, OUCE, Hyderabad.
16. Prof. V.C.Veera Reddy, Nodal Officer, SVUCE, Tirupathi
17. Prof. A.Ravi Prasad, Vice Principal, AUCE, V
18. Prof. Allam Appa Rao, Principal, AUCE, visakhapatnam
19. Prof. P.S.Avadhani, Officer on Spl.Duty, AUCE, Vizag
20. Dr. M.Santhi Ramudu, Chairman, RGM CET, Nandyal
21. Prof. N.C.Eswar Reddy, Principal, S.V.U. College of Engineering, Tirupati
22. Prof. M. Anji Reddy, Incharge-Director, IST, JNTU, Hyderabad
23. Prof. M. Lakshmi Narsu, Nodal Officer, IST, JNTU, Hyderabad
24. K. Elisha Babu, Nodal Officer, Bapatla Engineering College, Bapatla
25. Prof.Ravindra Nath, O.U.College of Technology, Hyderabad
26. Prof. S.Venkateswar,Principal O.U. College of Technology, Hyderabad
27. Prof. S.V.Naidu,Asso, Nodal Officer, A.U.College of Engineering,  
Visakhapatnam
28. K. Shyam Prasad, Asst.A.O.,Sreenidhi Institute of Science & Technology,  
Ghatkesar

29. Prof. C.Siva Reddy, TEQIP Coordinator, O.U College of Engineering,  
Hyderabad
  30. Dr. V.S.S.Kumar, Nodal Officer, O.U College of Engineering, Hyderabad
  31. Prof.Satyaprasad,K.Principal, JNTU College of Engineering, Kakinada
  32. Dr. K. Hema Chandra Reddy, Nodal Officer, College of Engineering,  
Anantapur
  33. Dr. G.K. Vishwanath, Nodal Officer, JNTU College of Engineering,  
Hyderabad
  34. Dr. R. Thyaga Raju, A.O & Nodal Officer, RGM CET, Nandyal
  35. Sri. C. Mallesham, HoD, Govt. Institute of Electronics, Secunderabad
  36. Smt. C. Ratna Kumari, Nodal Officer, Govt. Institute of Electronics,  
Secunderabad
  37. Dr. Vasudeva Rao, Principal, Sreenidhi Institute of Science & Technology,  
Ghatkesar
- 

The following discussions were held:

1. The Head Programme Cell of the SPFU welcomed the Principals, Nodal Officers, of the TEQIP Institutions and Mentors. He introduced the State Project Advisor who has taken charge on 18-5-2006 and all the institutions have felicitated with floral greetings. Later, the Head Programme Cell briefed about the Agenda items for the review meeting.
2. The State Project Advisor stated that, during 5<sup>th</sup> JRM held at Kerala on 1-6-2006, the World Bank and NPIU officials have appreciated Andhra Pradesh State for effectively implementing TEQIP. He congratulated the institutions for their better performance and appreciations during JRM. He has informed that all the institutions are exercising autonomy but not reporting properly when asked for. The networking component provides a platform for resource sharing between institutions, faculty and students. Further, he informed that involvement of more faculty and staff in the project, conducting review meetings, onsite inspections of institutions and all other initiatives should be continued to achieve better results.

3. The Head-Programme Cell has detailed about the deliberations that took place during the 5<sup>th</sup> JRM . ( A brief note on the deliberations of the 5<sup>th</sup> JRM is enclosed ). During the briefing Principals/Nodal Officer and Mentors have interacted on several issues .
- a) GIOE,Sec'bad, and SVUCE, Tirupathi has raised the issue of not having total autonomy. Then it was clarified that it is not contemplated that full autonomy need to be exercised by all the institutions. It was informed that the lead institutions should have significant autonomy and network institutions need to have substantial autonomy as per the "guidelines on implementation of reforms" Feb.2006 issued by NPIU.
  - b) While discussing about the rationalization of faculty and filling up of posts , Prof.Ramulu said that only lecturer posts are permitted to be filled in OUCE and not at higher levels and only two posts have been permitted in OUCT. In this connection it was clarified that recruiting faculty at higher positions has become difficult and many of the faculty are being elevated by Career Advancement Scheme.
  - c) The key additional faculty proposed by the Institutions also need to be rationalized . All the posts required to start a new programme can not be shown under TEQIP as key additional faculty requirement.
  - d) Some of the Principals /Nodal Officers were stressing that their proposals which were included in the CIPs have been approved by NPIU/MHRD. The Institutions were informed that their CIPs were approved by the National Selection Committee subject to conditions that the basic requirements and stipulations under TEQIP shall apply.
  - e) The Institutions were also informed about reallocation and re-appropriation of funds from one head to another head are permitted as per the directions issued earlier. However all such reallocations can be followed only on specific orders from SPFU.
  - f) The Institutions viz., GIOE ,BEC, RGIT, and OUCE have to necessarily get accreditation immediately for Diploma and P.G.Courses. The Principal, AUCE when requested for applying for International accreditation out of TEQIP funds , was informed to send his representation to SPFU for permission.

- g) Further the request of Principal, AUCE for deputing faculty for study tours abroad to acquire latest knowledge on Nano Technology (specialization in Post Graduation), was advised to send to SPFU for consideration.
  - h) The National Workshop on Industry Institution Interaction proposed on 28<sup>th</sup> and 29<sup>th</sup> June 2006 stands postponed to 20<sup>th</sup> and 21<sup>st</sup> July 2006 in view of similar programme being conducted by SPFU, Karnataka on the same dates. The Principals of local TEQIP Institutions i.e. the members of organizing committee, Mentors and SPFU officials shall meet on 17<sup>th</sup> June 2006 at 3-00 P.M in SPFU office to chalk out further action.
  - i) The next TEQIP monthly review meeting will be conducted either at SNIST, Ghatkesar or BEC, Bapatla.
  - j) The activities under networking, Services to community and economy development and Tribal Development Plan needs to be taken up effectively in increased numbers and meet the financial targets set under these components. The State Project Advisor advised the Institutions to replicate any of the activities of other Institutions which are very good to implement. Hence the soft copy of all the 12 institutions' presentation will be given after the meeting to each of the Institution.
4. One of the Mentors have stated that the Institutions are not keen in taking the services of consultants inspite of suggesting a number of consultants in various fields for different activities under each of the TEQIP components.
5. The Institutions have made their presentations with special reference to activities under net working, services to community and economy development and tribal development plan. Except two or three institutions others could not properly plan their activities under these components. The SPA after consolidating the activities proposed by the Institutions, advised them to send their revised action plans under these components immediately in a week's time.

6. The Mentors Prof. Ramulu and Prof. J.S.R.Subramanyam have also made their presentations on services to Community and Economy Development for information and guidance to the Institutions.
7. The SPA disbursed the cheques and Demand Drafts towards the 1<sup>st</sup> instalment in the current financial year to all the Institutions except SNIST and BEC, Bapatla as these two Institutions have to submit securities /Bank guarantee .
8. Prof. N.P.Rao, the mentor, thanked the SPA for his effective participation in the meeting all through with his apt suggestions wherever required . He said that he has observed the impact of TEQIP project tending towards good. However he felt that the institutions are lagging behind in projection of their activities .
9. Prof.Radha Krishna , the Mentor has suggested the Institutions to submit /upload their websites with the list of procurements under TEQIP for dissemination of the information, make optimum utilization of equipment , undertake worthy activities under networking with contributions from premier institutions and develop into Centres of excellence /specialization.
10. All the Institutions were provided id passwords for directly sending the information by uploading the SPFU website [www.apspfu.com](http://www.apspfu.com)
11. The Head- procurement cell has reviewed the procurement of goods and Civil Works . The Institutions when asked for clarification on certain information to be furnished in the 17 columns proforma , the SPFU officials have clarified all their doubts .
12. The Head-Programme Cell informed all the Institutions to send the required information in the prescribed formats sent by NPIU in time otherwise it will be difficult for the SPFU/NPIU to compile and prepare State/National Report especially at the time of JRM. It was informed to all the institutions that such a situation has arose for the 5<sup>th</sup> JRM, wherein the information pertaining to the State of Andhra Pradesh under networking, services to community and economy development and TDP has not been included in the formats IV to VI prepared by NPIU for 5<sup>th</sup> JRM. However ,the NPIU officials have prepared a supplementary document and presented it during the 5<sup>th</sup> JRM. Hence all the

Institutions are advised not to delay in sending the information and also not to deviate or skip the information as asked for in the formats sent by SPFU / NPIU.

13. The Head Finance Cell has informed the institutions to send Proforma-A and 1C along with zerox copies of bills and purchase orders on or before 5<sup>th</sup> of every month and also the bank reconciliation statement and zerox copy of the last page of cash-book every month.
14. The Head-Quality Assurance Cell has proposed the vote of thanks.

State Project Advisor