

<b>TEQIP Third Audit Report</b>			
<b>Name of the Auditor</b>	<b>Prof. Nori Prakasa Rao</b>	<b>Dates of Audit</b>	<b>OCT 16<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup>, 2006</b>
<b>Name of the Institution</b>	<b>Sreenidhi Institute of Science &amp; Technology</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Ghatkesar</b>
<b>Summary Evaluation *</b>			

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Performance Audits</b>	<b>Perceived score, out of 10</b>	<b>Auditors Observations/Suggestions</b>
1.	Project Implementation	<b>8.2</b>	<b>Summed up in the last page of the Report</b>
2.	Implementation of institutional reforms	<b>8.2</b>	
3.	Administrative and managerial efficiency improvement	<b>8.3</b>	
4.	Quality of education, training and services	<b>8.1</b>	

Overall perceived score out of 10:

**8.2**

\*The perceived score for each area of performance and the overall perceived score will be obtained from software after feeding the perceived score for each aspect of an area

Signature

<b>TEQIP Third Audit Report</b>			
<b>Name of the Auditor</b>	<b>Prof. Nori Prakasa Rao</b>	<b>Dates of Audit</b>	<b>OCT 16<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup> , 2006</b>
<b>Name of the Institution</b>	<b>Sreenidhi Institute of Science &amp; Technology</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Ghatkesar</b>

## 1. Performance Audit - Project Implementation

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Aspect related to Project Implementation</b>	<b>Perceived score, out of 10</b>	<b>Auditors Observations/Suggestions</b>
1.	Improved curricula, syllabi and teaching-learning process	<b>9.0</b>	JNT University determined. College is active in participation
2.	Refurbishment of academic buildings	<b>10.0</b>	Good job done
3.	Strengthening and modernization of academic facilities	<b>9.0</b>	Good job done
4.	Overall faculty competence and activities	<b>8.0</b>	Can be better
5.	Operation of Formal Networking	<b>8.0</b>	To be bettered

**Note:** Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

**Signature**

<b>TEQIP Third Audit Report</b>			
<b>Name of the Auditor</b>	<b>Prof. Nori Prakasa Rao</b>	<b>Dates of Audit</b>	<b>OCT 16<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup> , 2006</b>
<b>Name of the Institution</b>	<b>Sreenidhi Institute of Science &amp; Technology</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Ghatkesar</b>

## 1. Performance Audit - Project Implementation (contd..)

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Aspect related to Project Implementation</b>	<b>Overall average perceived score, out of 10</b>	<b>Auditors Observations/Suggestions</b>
6.	Services to community and the unorganized and the organized sector of economy	<b>7.5</b>	Should be more
7.	Implementation of Tribal Development Plan	<b>7.0</b>	Should be more.
8.	Operation of non-formal networking	<b>7.0</b>	To improve

**Note:** Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

**Signature**

<b>TEQIP Third Audit Report</b>			
<b>Name of the Auditor</b>	<b>Prof. Nori Prakasa Rao</b>	<b>Dates of Audit</b>	<b>OCT 16<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup> , 2006</b>
<b>Name of the Institution</b>	<b>Sreenidhi Institute of Science &amp; Technology</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Ghatkesar</b>

## **2. Performance Audit - Implementation of Institutional Reforms**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Aspect related to Project Implementation</b>	<b>Perceived Score, out of 10</b>	<b>Auditors Observations/Suggestions</b>
1.	Creation of institutional ambience conducive to achievement of high institutional standards	<b>8.5</b>	Quite conducive
2.	Introduction of flexibility in program offerings	<b>7.5</b>	Limited by the JNT University
3.	Improvement in Method for evaluating students' academic performance	<b>9.0</b>	Very good
4.	Appraisal of teachers' performance by students	<b>9.0</b>	Very good

**Note:** Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

**Signature**

<b>TEQIP Third Audit Report</b>			
<b>Name of the Auditor</b>	<b>Prof. Nori Prakasa Rao</b>	<b>Dates of Audit</b>	<b>OCT 16<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup> , 2006</b>
<b>Name of the Institution</b>	<b>Sreenidhi Institute of Science &amp; Technology</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Ghatkesar</b>

## **2. Performance Audit - Implementation of Institutional Reforms Contd...**

<b>Sl. No.</b>	<b>Aspect related to Project Implementation</b>	<b>Perceived Score, out of 10</b>	<b>Auditors Observations/Suggestions</b>
5.	Establishment of four funds and their sizes	<b>8.0</b>	Funds should swell. Attempts are underway.
6.	Institution of improved service package for faculty	<b>8.5</b>	Very encouraging
7.	Offer of incentives to faculty	<b>8.0</b>	Very encouraging
8.	Mechanisms for self –correction and improvement	<b>7.0</b>	Feedback should lead to this . Impact is not highly visible.

**Note:** Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

**Signature**

<b>TEQIP Third Audit Report</b>			
<b>Name of the Auditor</b>	<b>Prof. Nori Prakasa Rao</b>	<b>Dates of Audit</b>	<b>OCT 16<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup> , 2006</b>
<b>Name of the Institution</b>	<b>Sreenidhi Institute of Science &amp; Technology</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Ghatkesar</b>

### **3. Performance Audit - Improvement in Administrative and Managerial Efficiencies**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Aspect related to Project Implementation</b>	<b>Perceived Score, out of 10</b>	<b>Auditors Observations/Suggestions</b>
1.	Modernization and decentralization of administration and financial management	<b>8.5</b>	Exists to a great extent
2.	Responsiveness to students academic and non-academic requirements	<b>8.5</b>	Pretty good
3.	Responsiveness to faculty requirements	<b>8.0</b>	As it is good but should be more.
4.	utilization of institutional resources	<b>7.5</b>	Reasonably OK but institute should have hostels for situation to improve
5.	Maintenance of academic and non-academic infrastructure and facilities	<b>9.0</b>	Very good

**Note:** Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

**Signature**

<b>TEQIP Third Audit Report</b>			
<b>Name of the Auditor</b>	<b>Prof. Nori Prakasa Rao</b>	<b>Dates of Audit</b>	<b>OCT 16<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup>, 2006</b>
<b>Name of the Institution</b>	<b>Sreenidhi Institute of Science &amp; Technology</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Ghatkesar</b>

#### **4. Performance Audit - Quality of Education, Training and Services**

<b>S. No.</b>	<b>Aspect related to Project Implementation</b>	<b>Perceived Score, out of 10</b>	<b>Auditors Observations/Suggestions</b>
1.	Accreditation status	<b>8.5</b>	College is active and pursuing
2.	Relevance of curricula and syllabi	<b>8.5</b>	Good. Any limitation is due to University affiliation
3.	Use of modern teaching/learning aids and methods	<b>8.5</b>	Being practiced in a big way
4.	Provision of opportunities to students to improve their learning	<b>8.0</b>	Very good
5.	Interaction with Industry	<b>8.0</b>	Good in some departments and needs pick up in some others

**Note:** Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

<b>TEQIP Third Audit Report</b>			
<b>Name of the Auditor</b>	<b>Prof. Nori Prakasa Rao</b>	<b>Dates of Audit</b>	<b>OCT 16<sup>th</sup> – 17<sup>th</sup> , 2006</b>
<b>Name of the Institution</b>	<b>Sreenidhi Institute of Science &amp; Technology</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>Ghatkesar</b>

#### **4. Performance Audit - Quality of Education, Training and Services (Contd...)**

6.	Placement through campus interviews	<b>7.5</b>	Considerable improvement is seen this year.
7.	Training and services offered	<b>8.0</b>	Exists but activity should be on the increase

**Note:** Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

**Signature**

<b>Auditors Report (Third)</b>			
<b>Name of Auditor</b>	<b>PROF. NORI PRAKASA RAO</b>	<b>Dates of visit</b>	<b>16<sup>th</sup> -17<sup>th</sup> OCT, 2006</b>
<b>Name of Institution</b>	<b>SREENIDHI INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE &amp; TECHNOLOGY</b>	<b>Location</b>	<b>GHATKESAR</b>
<b>Departments Visited</b>	Computer Science & Engineering, Electrical & Electronics Engineering, Electronics & Computer Engineering, Electronics & Communication Engg., Information Technology, Mechanical Engg., and Bio Technology (presently not under TEQIP)		
<b>Facilities Visited</b>	Departmental Offices, Library		
<b>Discussions held with</b>	Governing Body Members, Director, Principal, TEQIP nodal officer, Deans, Heads of the Departments, Professor in charge of Placement, Faculty Members and Students		

## **General Impression and Observations**

- **Ambience is excellent for academic pursuit.**
- **The lecture plan for all the subjects taught and manuals for the laboratory experiments are well prepared.**
- **The e-class rooms exists in all the departments and teachers & students are making use of the same.**
- **The Administrative Efficiency is good with minimum delays.**
- **Faculty is active as evidenced by publications, conducting of workshops and seminars.**
- Some amount of work under "Networking has taken place in the form of sharing of resources and joint Ph.D guidance etc.
- Industry interaction is good with some departments.
- There is a need for increasing the level of Research activity and its quality. Industrial Consultancy and testing activity has to be promoted in some of the departments.
- **Overall the Progress made since last auditing is extremely satisfactory.**