

Name of the Mentor: Prof Nori Prakasa Rao Dates of Mentoring November 24 – 25, 2006
Mentor's Comments are shown in RED

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. JSR Subrahmanyam	Dates of Audit	16 th & 17 th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	Govt Institute of Electronics	Location	Secunderabad
Summary Evaluation *			

Sl. No.	Performance Audits	Perceived score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
1.	Project Implementation	7.7	Good. Steadily improving
2.	Implementation of institutional reforms	6	Reasonbale, Steadily impriving. Pace can and should increase considerably
		Work on Campuswide Network started late. Mentor discussed the action to be initiated. Hopefully institutional reforms will pick by next mentoring time	
3.	Administrative and managerial efficiency improvement	7.6	Good. Steadily improving.
4.	Quality of education, training and services	9.5	Excellent. Improving further

Overall perceived score out of 10:

7.8

*The perceived score for each area of performance and the overall perceived score will be obtained from software after feeding the perceived score for each aspect of an area

Name of the Mentor: Prof Nori Prakasa Rao Dates of Mentoring November 24 – 25, 2006

Mentor's Comments are shown in RED

Signature

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. JSR Subrahmanyam	Dates of Audit	16th & 17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	Govt Institute of Electronics	Location	Secunderabad

1. Performance Audit - Project Implementation

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
1.	Improved curricula, syllabi and teaching-learning process	9	Periodical update and steady improvement
2.	Refurbishment of academic buildings	7	Improved
			Approvals for civil works have now been received Action is initiated and progress is just becoming visible.
3.	Strengthening and modernization of academic facilities	8.5	Better
4.	Overall faculty competence and activities	8	Good in general
5.	Operation of Formal Networking	6	Good in general. Steady improvement
			Mentoring is specifically focused on what can be done under formal networking. Action plan for the next six months is enclosed

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Name of the Mentor: Prof Nori Prakasa Rao Dates of Mentoring November 24 – 25, 2006
 Mentor's Comments are shown in RED

Signature

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. JSR Subrahmanyam	Dates of Audit	16 th & 17 th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	Govt Institute of Electronics	Location	Secunderabad

1. Performance Audit - Project Implementation (contd..)

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Overall average perceived score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
6.	Services to community and the unorganized and the organized sector of economy	8.5	Good in general. Steady improvement
7.	Implementation of Tribal Development Plan	6	Improving
		Extensive interaction with students and faculty on the Tribal development issue. Have been assured of progress	
8.	Operation of non-formal networking	8	Very Good.

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

Name of the Mentor: Prof Nori Prakasa Rao Dates of Mentoring November 24 – 25, 2006
 Mentor's Comments are shown in RED

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. JSR Subrahmanyam	Dates of Audit	16th & 17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	Govt Institute of Electronics	Location	Secunderabad

2. Performance Audit - Implementation of Institutional Reforms

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
1.	Creation of institutional ambience conducive to achievement of high institutional standards	7	Improving Pace has picked up with all approvals for civil works now received
2.	Introduction of flexibility in program offerings	5	Improving Govt. Controls the curriculum. There is little hope on major changes taking place.
3.	Improvement in Method for evaluating students' academic performance	9	Fully autonomous
4.	Appraisal of teachers' performance by students	8	Good In Place

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

Name of the Mentor: Prof Nori Prakasa Rao Dates of Mentoring November 24 – 25, 2006

Mentor's Comments are shown in RED

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. JSR Subrahmanyam	Dates of Audit	16th & 17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	Govt Institute of Electronics	Location	Secunderabad

2. Performance Audit - Implementation of Institutional Reforms Contd...

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
5.	Establishment of four funds and their sizes	6	Good. Can't be better due to Govt restrictions. Fund available in reserve for reallocation is also considered
			Scope is very limited since there is no control on fees and consultancy services are extremely limited
6.	Institution of improved service package for faculty	5	Can't be better due to Govt. restrictions. In compliance with exception condition stated in Inst. Reforms doc of NPIU
			Suggested non financial procedures that help rewarding teachers doing well
7.	Offer of incentives to faculty	5	Reasonable
			It is a polytechnic where the attention is limited to class room teaching. Scope to offer incentives is very limited
8.	Mechanisms for self –correction and improvement	2	No change in the mindset
			Mentored on the purpose Change in attitude might come

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

Name of the Mentor: Prof Nori Prakasa Rao Dates of Mentoring November 24 – 25, 2006
Mentor's Comments are shown in RED

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. JSR Subrahmanyam	Dates of Audit	16th & 17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	Govt Institute of Electronics	Location	Secunderabad

3. Performance Audit - Improvement in Administrative and Managerial Efficiencies

S. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions	
1.	Modernization and decentralization of administration and financial management	7	Under conceptualization. Certainly the computerization is expected to be better than Resp. Sheet / MIS SW of NPIU so far	
			Eager to know sound practices. SPFU is planning to arrange this	
2.	Responsiveness to students academic and non-academic requirements	8	Very Good	Both are steadily improving
3.	Responsiveness to faculty requirements	8	Very Good	
4.	utilization of institutional resources	6	Good	
		The factory like timings should change. Facilities should be put to greater use. Mentoring discussed ways		
5.	Maintenance of academic and non-academic infrastructure and facilities	9	Steady improvement	

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

Name of the Mentor: Prof Nori Prakasa Rao Dates of Mentoring November 24 – 25, 2006

Mentor's Comments are shown in RED

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. JSR Subrahmanyam	Dates of Audit	16th & 17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	Govt Institute of Electronics	Location	Secunderabad

4. Performance Audit - Quality of Education, Training and Services

S. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
1.	Accreditation status	10	Applied. Expert visit expected shortly December 8th and 9th are the dates of visit of Accreditation teams
2.	Relevance of curricula and syllabi	10	Excellent
3.	Use of modern teaching/learning aids and methods	8	Good Electronic teaching aids are now being used
4.	Provision of opportunities to students to improve their learning	9	Very Good
5.	Interaction with Industry	10	Excellent
		Compulsory industry training for 6 months is a virtue to be emulated by the others	

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

Name of the Mentor: Prof Nori Prakasa Rao Dates of Mentoring November 24 – 25, 2006
 Mentor's Comments are shown in RED

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. JSR Subrahmanyam	Dates of Audit	16th & 17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	Govt Institute of Electronics	Location	Secunderabad

4. Performance Audit - Quality of Education, Training and Services (Contd...)

6.	Placement through campus interviews	10	No problems of any kind. Students are extremely happy
7.	Training and services offered	9	Have a greater capability. Should explore improving

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

Name of the Mentor: Prof Nori Prakasa Rao Dates of Mentoring November 24 – 25, 2006
Mentor's Comments are shown in RED

Auditors Report		(Third)	
Name of Auditor	Prof. JSR Subrahmanyam	Dates of visit	16 th & 17 th OCT, 2006
Name of Institution	Govt. Institute of Electronics	Location	Secunderabad, Andhra Pradesh

Improvements noticed on shortcomings reported during Second Audit

Good improvement in ambience, removal of obsolescence, increasing work space.

Improve utilization of resources but not commensurating with the improved facilities.

No commitment on the part of BoG members from the Board of Tech. Education. Excessive ego problem obvious. These members hve not bothered to be present for even a single interaction with the Mentors/Auditors of TEQIP. Recommend restructure the BoG removing these members as their contribution is non-positive as noticed, and replace them with some right minded and professional personnel.

The original enthusiasm amongst the staff is reduced in general, even though the outputs and outcomes have improved.

GloE is the best performing polytechnics in the state. It can do even better if a little more support is extended to keep the facilities open for longer times. So far taking up civil works was getting delayed due to non receipt of approvals. Government has now sorted out this problem. We hope to see the Institute performing better from now on.

