

Mentor's comments are noted in red color

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. C.RADHAKRISHNA	Dates of Audit	16-17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	BAPATLA ENGINEERING COLLEGE	Location	BAPATLA
Summary Evaluation *			

Sl. No.	Performance Audits	Perceived score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
1.	Project Implementation	8.0	Summed up in the last page of the Report
2.	Implementation of institutional reforms	8.4	
3.	Administrative and managerial efficiency improvement	8.4	
4.	Quality of education, training and services	7.8	

Overall perceived score out of 10:

8.1

***The perceived score for each area of performance and the overall perceived score will be obtained from software after feeding the perceived score for each aspect of an area**

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. C.RADHAKRISHNA	Dates of Audit	16-17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	BAPATLA ENGINEERING COLLEGE	Location	BAPATLA
1. Performance Audit - Project Implementation			

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived score, out of 10	Auditors Observations / Suggestions / (Mentor's suggestions)
1.	Improved curricula, syllabi and teaching-learning process	8.6	Improvement exists; but may be enhanced further (Depending largely on according autonomy by University. GoAP needs to respond aggressively. No suggestions needed)
2.	Refurbishment of academic buildings	9.2	Very good (No suggestions needed.)
3.	Strengthening and modernization of academic facilities	9.0	Appreciable improvement (No suggestions needed.)
4.	Overall faculty competence and activities	8.0	Noticeable progress exists (Inadequate networking, industry interaction and staff dev. Plan. These need improvement on the lines of continuous suggestions being made by SPFU and opportunities provided by mentors)
5.	Operation of Formal Networking	7.5	Improved (Inadequate networking. These need improvement on the lines of continuous suggestions being made by SPFU and opportunities provided by mentors)

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. C.RADHAKRISHNA	Dates of Audit	16-17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	BAPATLA ENGINEERING COLLEGE	Location	BAPATLA
1. Performance Audit - Project Implementation (contd..)			

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Overall average perceived score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
6.	Services to community and the unorganized and the organized sector of economy	7.0	Exhibits considerable progress (Inadequate. These need improvement on the lines of continuous suggestions being made by SPFU and opportunities provided by mentors)
7.	Implementation of Tribal Development Plan	8.0	Improved performance needed (Good. No suggestions needed.)
8.	Operation of non-formal networking	7.0	Good; but further enhancement possible (Inadequate. These need improvement on the lines of continuous suggestions being made by SPFU and opportunities provided by mentors)

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. C.RADHAKRISHNA	Dates of Audit	16-17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	BAPATLA ENGINEERING COLLEGE	Location	BAPATLA
2. Performance Audit - Implementation of Institutional Reforms			

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
1.	Creation of institutional ambience conducive to achievement of high institutional standards	9.0	Very good progress (Excellent and considered role model for other institutions. No suggestions needed.)
2.	Introduction of flexibility in program offerings	7.5	Improvement exists but further scope possible (Depending largely on according autonomy by University. GoAP needs to respond aggressively. No suggestions needed)
3.	Improvement in Method for evaluating students' academic performance	8.0	Good (Good. No suggestions needed.)

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
4.	Appraisal of teachers' performance by students	9.0	Very Good (Good. No suggestions needed.)

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. C.RADHAKRISHNA	Dates of Audit	16-17 th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	BAPATLA ENGINEERING COLLEGE	Location	BAPATLA
2. Performance Audit - Implementation of Institutional Reforms Contd...			

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
5.	Establishment of four funds and their sizes	8.5	Exists but more awareness is desirable (During 2 nd mentoring itself, the guidelines provided by NPIU were explained in detail and the necessary clarifications provided. Softcopy of the document also is provided.)

Sl. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
6.	Institution of improved service package for faculty	9.0	Appreciable; but performance linked schemes may be introduced (Good. No suggestions needed.)
7.	Offer of incentives to faculty	9.0	Good; Modern management based tools may be employed (Good. No suggestions needed.)
8.	Mechanisms for self –correction and improvement	8.0	Scope exists for further improvement (Good. No suggestions needed.)

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. C.RADHAKRISHNA	Dates of Audit	16-17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	BAPATLA ENGINEERING COLLEGE	Location	BAPATLA
3. Performance Audit - Improvement in Administrative and Managerial Efficiencies			

S. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
1.	Modernization and decentralization of administration and financial management	8.1	Decentralized approach with suitable delegation of powers is recommended (Total office automation yet to take place. This is linked also with the quick deployment of full scale campus wide networking.)
2.	Responsiveness to students academic and non-academic requirements	8.5	Good; but further improvement is possible (Good. No suggestions needed.)
3.	Responsiveness to faculty requirements	7.5	Improved but further scope exists (Good. No suggestions needed.)
4.	utilization of institutional resources	9.2	Very effective (Good. No suggestions needed.)
5.	Maintenance of academic and non-academic infrastructure and facilities	9.0	Very good and appreciated (Good. No suggestions needed.)

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. C.RADHAKRISHNA	Dates of Audit	16-17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	BAPATLA ENGINEERING COLLEGE	Location	BAPATLA
4. Performance Audit - Quality of Education, Training and Services			

S. No.	Aspect related to Project Implementation	Perceived Score, out of 10	Auditors Observations/Suggestions
1.	Accreditation status	8.2	Satisfactory; but more effective planning is desirable (<i>Good. No suggestions needed.</i>)
2.	Relevance of curricula and syllabi	8.5	Good; but can be exploited better (<i>Depending largely on according autonomy by University. GoAP needs to respond aggressively. No suggestions needed</i>)
3.	Use of modern teaching/learning aids and methods	9.4	Very Good. Complete development of e-classroom is desirable (<i>Good. No suggestions needed.</i>)
4.	Provision of opportunities to students to improve their learning	8.6	Good (<i>Involvement of external experts on regular basis is needed.</i>)
5.	Interaction with Industry	6.5	Improved; but much scope exists for further enhancement (<i>Inadequate. These need improvement on the lines of continuous suggestions being made by SPFU and opportunities provided by mentors</i>)

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Signature

TEQIP Third Audit Report			
Name of the Auditor	Prof. C.RADHAKRISHNA	Dates of Audit	16-17th OCT, 2006
Name of the Institution	BAPATLA ENGINEERING COLLEGE	Location	BAPATLA
4. Performance Audit - Quality of Education, Training and Services (Contd...)			

6.	Placement through campus interviews	7.0	Improvement noticed; but more efforts are required in this direction (Inadequate due to inadequate networking, industry interaction and staff dev. Plan. These need improvement on the lines of continuous suggestions being made by SPFU and opportunities provided by mentors)
7.	Training and services offered	6.8	Good progress noticed; but more organized effort is desired (Inadequate due to inadequate publicity, networking, industry interaction and staff dev. Plan. These need improvement on the lines of continuous suggestions being made by SPFU and opportunities provided by mentors)

Note: Perceived score is the one assessed by the Auditor based on FGDs, discussions with Principal/ Director and others, visits to various facilities and the impression based on the Tally summary sheet printed from the software for each group

Improvements noticed on shortcomings reported during Second Audit.

- 1. Noticeable improvements observed in the components**
 - a. Implementation of institutional reforms and**
 - b. Quality of education, training and services**

None whatever w.r. to the academic autonomy, and very little improvement in overall performance of electrical engineering stream of branches.

- 2. Marginal progress is observed in the components**
 - a. Project implementation and**
 - b. Administrative and managerial efficiency**

Still poor utilization of resources, not fully implemented office automation & campus networking, no detailed planning / satisfactory planning as far as Networking / Services to Community / Inst. Ind. Interaction.

- 3. Improvements noticed in the following items**
 - a. Modernization of academic facilities;**
 - b. Formal networking;**
 - c. Institutional ambience to achieve high standards;**
 - d. Flexible program offerings**
 - e. Appraisal of teachers' performance;**

- f. Service packages and incentives to deserving faculty;
- g. Utilization of institutional resources;
- h. Maintenance of infrastructure and facilities;
- i. Training and services offered; and
- j. Initiatives towards Industry interaction

The scope of formal networking proposed is limited and better scope for offering training & services exists.

- 4. Scope exists for further improvement in the items:
 - a. Implementation of Tribal Development plans;
 - b. Modernization and decentralization of administration and financial management;
 - c. Responsiveness to faculty requirements
 - d. Accreditation status;
 - e. Use of modern teaching / learning aids and methods;
 - f. Interaction with industry, R&D establishments and professional societies
- 5. Research ambience has to be improved
- 6. Further scope exists for improved performance in 'Non-formal networking'
- 7. Effective interaction with industry is desired resulting in internal revenue generation.
- 8. Sports and medical facilities need to be improved
- 9. Placement cell may be made more effective
- 10. Entrepreneurship has to be encouraged
- 11. P.G. programmes may be taken up
- 12. Log books about utilization hand condition monitoring records should be maintained.